

ON A NEW KINETIC CLASSIFICATION OF THE SOLID–GAS THERMAL DECOMPOSITION REACTIONS WHICH OCCUR IN NON-ISOTHERMAL CONDITIONS

E. URBANOVICI

*Research Institute for Electrotechnics, Sfintu Gheorghe Branch, Str. Jozsef Attila, Nr. 9,
Sfintu Gheorghe, Judetul Covasna (Romania)*

E. SEGAL

*Department of Physical Chemistry and Electrochemical Technology, Faculty of Chemical
Technology, Polytechnic Institute of Bucharest, Bulevardul Republicii 13,
Bucharest (Romania)*

(Received 6 December 1989)

ABSTRACT

An analysis of the change in the non-isothermal reaction rate with heating rate presented in a previous paper allowed a classification criterion for the thermal decompositions which occur in non-isothermal conditions to be proposed and a kinetic classification of these reactions to be suggested. This paper attempts to explain the changes in the non-isothermal reaction rate with heating and, thus, to define the above-mentioned criterion and classification.

INTRODUCTION

The classical non-isothermal rate equation is [1]

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dT} = \frac{A}{\beta} f(\alpha) e^{-E/RT} \quad (1)$$

the heating rate β being considered constant, with

$$A = \text{const.} \quad (2)$$

$$E = \text{const.} \quad (3)$$

and

$$f(\alpha) = (1 - \alpha)^n \alpha^m [-\ln(1 - \alpha)]^p \quad (4)$$

according to Šesták and Berggren [2] where

$$n = \text{const.}; \quad m = \text{const.}; \quad p = \text{const.} \quad (5)$$

For variable kinetic parameters, the equation [1]

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dT} = \frac{A(\alpha)}{\beta} f^*(\alpha) e^{-E(\alpha)/RT} \quad (6)$$

with

$$f^*(\alpha) = f(\alpha, n(\alpha), m(\alpha), p(\alpha)) \quad (7)$$

should be used instead of eqn. (1)

In a previous paper [3], two types of change in the non-isothermal reaction rate with heating rate were demonstrated. For the dehydration of calcium oxalate with α constant

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dT}(\beta) \downarrow \text{ as } \beta \uparrow \quad (8)$$

Correspondingly for the thermal decomposition of potassium permanganate with α constant

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dT}(\beta) \uparrow \text{ as } \beta \uparrow \quad (9)$$

In the following we shall try to explain the differences in eqns. (8) and (9) using the classical equation, eqn. (1), as well as the non-classical equation, eqn. (6).

CLASSICAL CASE

Integrating eqn. (1), one obtains

$$g(\alpha) = \frac{A}{\beta} \int_0^T e^{-E/RT} dT \quad (10)$$

or by solving approximately the integral from the right side of eqn. (10)

$$g(\alpha) = \frac{A}{\beta} \frac{RT^2}{E} e^{-E/RT} Q\left(\frac{E}{RT}\right) \quad (11)$$

where

$$Q\left(\frac{E}{RT}\right) < 1 \quad (12)$$

Let α be constant and let

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dT}(\beta) = \alpha^*(\beta) \quad (13)$$

Taking the derivative of eqn. (1) with respect to β for α being constant

$$\frac{d\alpha^*(\beta)}{d\beta} = \frac{A}{\beta} f(\alpha) e^{-E/RT} \left[-\frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{E}{RT^2} \frac{dT}{d\beta} \right] \quad (14)$$

where, for simplicity's sake, T is written for $T(\beta)$.

From eqn. (10), taking the derivative with respect to β we get [4]

$$g(\alpha) = A e^{-E/RT} \frac{dT}{d\beta} \quad (15)$$

Equation (14) with $dT/d\beta$ given by eqn. (15) becomes

$$\frac{d\alpha^*(\beta)}{d\beta} = \alpha^*(\beta) \left[-\frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{E}{RT^2} e^{E/RT} \frac{g(\alpha)}{A} \right] \quad (16)$$

Substituting the pre-exponential factor A from eqn. (11) into eqn. (16)

$$\frac{d\alpha^*(\beta)}{d\beta} = \frac{\alpha^*(\beta)}{\beta} \left[Q\left(\frac{E}{RT}\right) - 1 \right] \quad (17)$$

As $\alpha^*(\beta)/\beta > 0$, taking into account relationship (12)

$$\frac{d\alpha^*(\beta)}{d\beta} < 0 \quad (18)$$

According to inequality (18), the function $\alpha^*(\beta)$ decreases when the heating rate β increases, thus

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dT}(\beta) \downarrow \text{ as } \beta \uparrow \quad (19)$$

This result shows that a description of the decomposition in classical terms leads only to a decrease in the non-isothermal reaction rate with the heating rate (for α constant), as was demonstrated experimentally in the dehydration of calcium oxalate.

NON-CLASSICAL CASE

For α constant, the derivative of eqn. (6) with respect to β gives

$$\frac{d\alpha^*(\beta)}{d\beta} = \alpha^*(\beta) \left[-\frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{E(\alpha)}{RT^2} \frac{dT}{d\beta} \right] \quad (20)$$

In this case, $dT/d\beta$ cannot be evaluated as a function of the kinetic parameters.

Nevertheless, taking into account that for α constant [5]

$$\frac{1}{T} = a + b \ln \beta \quad (a = \text{const.}, b = \text{const.}) \quad (21)$$

and

$$\frac{dT}{d\beta} = -T^2 \frac{b}{\beta} \quad (22)$$

Taking into account this result, eqn. (2) becomes

$$\frac{d\alpha^*(\beta)}{d\beta} = \frac{\alpha^*(\beta)}{\beta} \left[-1 - \frac{E(\alpha)b}{R} \right] \quad (23)$$

The sign of this expression cannot be predicted for the general case as the dependences of b on the kinetic parameters are unknown. Assessing the dependences of b on $A(\alpha)$, $f^*(\alpha)$ and $E(\alpha)$ is a future project. For the time

being, b and $E(\alpha)$ will be determined from experimental data. The two following examples make use of experimental data given elsewhere [3].

First example: the decomposition of potassium permanganate

$$\alpha = 0.45$$

$$E(\alpha = 0.45) = 33.87 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$$

$$\beta_1 = 1.5 \text{ K min}^{-1} \text{ and } \beta_2 = 3 \text{ K min}^{-1}$$

The system

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{514.0} &= a + b \ln 1.5 \\ \frac{1}{529.5} &= a + b \ln 3 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (24)$$

leads to the following value for b

$$b = -8.2163 \times 10^{-5} \text{ K}^{-1} \quad (25)$$

For the expression $d\alpha^*(\beta)/d\beta$, obviously

$$\frac{d\alpha^*(\beta)}{d\beta} = 0.4004 \frac{\alpha^*(\beta)}{\beta} > 0 \quad (26)$$

Thus for the decomposition of potassium permanganate

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dT} = \alpha^*(\beta) \uparrow \text{ as } \beta \uparrow \quad (27)$$

in qualitative agreement with the experimental data.

Second example: the dehydration of calcium oxalate

$$\alpha = 0.50$$

$$E(\alpha = 0.50) = \frac{E(\alpha = 0.4583) + E(\alpha = 0.5417)}{2} = 23.03 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$$

$$\beta_1 = 1 \text{ K min}^{-1} \text{ and } \beta_2 = 5 \text{ K min}^{-1}$$

From the system

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{429.2} &= a + b \ln 1 \\ \frac{1}{449.2} &= a + b \ln 5 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (28)$$

it follows that

$$b = -6.4455 \times 10^{-5} \text{ K}^{-1} \quad (29)$$

and

$$\frac{d\alpha^*(\beta)}{d\beta} = -0.2530 \frac{\alpha^*(\beta)}{\beta} < 0 \quad (30)$$

Thus, in this case

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dT}(\beta) = \alpha^*(\beta) \downarrow \text{ as } \beta \uparrow \quad (31)$$

in qualitative agreement with the experimental data.

CONCLUSIONS

(1) For the change in $d\alpha/dT(\beta)$ with the heating rate, β , at α constant, the classical model predicts only the case $d\alpha/dT(\beta) \downarrow$ as $\beta \uparrow$.

(2) The non-classical model with variable kinetic parameters predicts the previous case as well as the case $[d\alpha/dT](\beta) \uparrow$ as $\beta \uparrow$.

(3) The decompositions in solid-gas systems which occur in non-isothermal conditions can be classified according to the changes in the non-isothermal reaction rate with the heating rate at α constant.

REFERENCES

- 1 E. Urbanovici and E. Segal, *Thermochim. Acta*, 135 (1988) 193.
- 2 J. Šesták and G. Berggren, *Thermochim. Acta*, 3 (1971) 1.
- 3 E. Urbanovici and E. Segal, *Thermochim. Acta*, 94 (1985) 399.
- 4 E. Urbanovici and E. Segal, *Thermochim. Acta*, 95 (1985) 273.
- 5 E. Urbanovici and E. Segal, *Thermochim. Acta*, in press.